

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,604	JAMES, WALLACE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary K. Graham	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Gary K. Graham.

(2) Mark J. Young.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

28-34

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Changes to claims to correct minor deficiencies. In particular, removal of "mirror" from claim 28, line 3, as such is already set forth in line 1. Also, indicated changes to claims 29-34 do not appear accurate. Additionally, claims 1-20 are cancelled and have not been listed as such. The Examiner will correct such within the Examiner's Amendment.